

# Maribyrnong City Council

# CITY DEVELOPMENT DELEGATED COMMITTEE MINUTES

Tuesday 28 March, 2023 6.30pm

Community Hall Braybrook Community Hub 107–139 Churchill Avenue Braybrook

#### MEMBERSHIP

.1

\*

Councillor Sarah Carter Councillor Michael Clarke (Chair) Councillor Simon Crawford Councillor Cuc Lam Councillor Jorge Jorquera Councillor Bernadette Thomas Councillor Anthony Tran

> To be confirmed at the City Development Delegated Committee Meeting to be held on 26 April, 2023

# 1. COMMENCEMENT OF DELEGATED MEETING AND WELCOME

The meeting commenced at 6.40pm.

The Chair, Cr Michael Clarke made the following acknowledgement statement:

"We acknowledge that we are on the traditional lands of the Kulin Nation. We offer our respect to the Elders of these traditional lands, and through them to all Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, past and present".

# PRESENT

Councillor Sarah Carter Councillor Michael Clarke (Chair) Councillor Cuc Lam Councillor Jorge Jorquera Councillor Bernadette Thomas Councillor Anthony Tran

# IN ATTENDANCE

Chief Executive Officer, Celia Haddock Director Community Services, Lucas Gosling Director Infrastructure Services, Patrick Jess Director Corporate Services, Lisa King Director Planning and Environment, Laura Jo Mellan Manager Governance and Commercial Services, Phil McQue Coordinator Governance, Christopher Southavong Manager City Places, Ashley Minniti

# 2. APOLOGIES

Councillor Simon Crawford

# 3. DISCLOSURES OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Nil.

۶,

# 4. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Public Question Time commenced at 6.44pm and Council received 92 questions, with 86 questions in relation to McIvor Reserve. As provided for under Clause 52.9 of Council's Governance Rules, similar questions on McIvor Reserve have been grouped together and provided with a consolidated response.

## Mara Rados asked the following questions:

1. The developer is applying to excavate down to meters right on the property line. If the council approves the plans and allows this excavation, the heavy machinery will no doubt cause damage to our home both immediately as a result of human error and also in the future undermining the structure of 68a which may not be evident immediately. I would like to ask who at council will pay my claims when my property is structurally unsound and unsafe for my family to live in?

2.

1

3

## Response

Excavation and broader construction and structural matters are not considered at the planning permit assessment stage. Excavation for any approved development would be managed via a building permit issued under the *Building Act 1993* and regulated by a Private Building Surveyor.

3. The developer has not taken into consideration the existing residential building heights that surround the property and has submitted plans that do not adhere to neighborhood character area at clause 22.05-3 of the Municipal Strategic Statement. Where reference to "low scale intimacy" is made on page 32, can council please explain how a building that is taller than some mixed use buildings (that do not abut 66 Walter) can be considered "low scale"? How does council justify the height of the development when it flies in the face of the rules they themselves have set in pace for the area?

#### Response

The Inner Urban 1 preferred neighbourhood character statement informs the assessment and is considered alongside the site context and design response. The General Residential Zone has a maximum height of 11 metres for residential buildings. The recommended condition to delete the gable ends would reduce the height as viewed from Walter Street. The setback of the upper levels from Walter Street is supported to provide recession to the street and increase the prominence of the ground floor part of the building.

4. On page 33, reference is made of the "narrow width of the site limits opportunities for setback"? The developer knew the constraints of buying a small parcel when he bought it, can council explain how this justifies the excessive height and no doubt undermining the integrity of our home at 68a.

## Response

While the site is constrained it is in an established residential area where new development is anticipated and encouraged by the planning scheme. The proposed height is within the allowable maximum of 11 metres, and is supported subject to conditions which would reduce unnecessary parts of the building. Strict compliance with the numerical standard of Clause 55 would render the site undevelopable in this instance.

## Keith Elshout asked the following guestions:

- 1. Page 32 of your planning findings state that "the reduction of one car space..." encourages "public and active transport and reduced car dependence". What research are you backing this statement up from? Peer reviewed research that is clearly shows this is false. These include:
  - Can High Quality Public Transport Support Reduced Car Parking Requirements For New Residential Apartments? A New Way To Organize Parking: The Key To A Successful Sustainable Transport System For The Future
  - Reassessing On-Street Parking
  - International Approaches To Tackling Transport Congestion,
  - Parking Policies And The Effects On Economy And Mobility

#### **Response**

The proposed reduction in car parking is consistent with Council's Local Transport Policy at Clause 21.09 of the Maribyrnong Planning Scheme. The site is within close proximity to Middle Footscray Station and serviced by nearby buses. The site is within a short walk to Seddon Village and the larger Footscray Metropolitan Activity Centre.

Objective 3 of Council's local transport policy is "to support and promote sustainable transport" Supporting car parking dispensations for developments well served by public transport is a common strategy to encourage alternative modes of transport. The 2021 Census showed that Seddon had 2344 dwellings, with 1363 or just over 58% having either one or no vehicles.

2. Page 34 states that the site coverage is 74%. Permeable surfaces are included in building regulations to assist in restricting flood events. Given the flood event on Friday 14 October 2022 that impacted 525 properties in Maribyrnong Township do you feel it is appropriate for the Council to continue making the mistakes of the past because the mistakes have already been made? How do you feel continuing to allow a lack of permeable space can be justified to the well in excess of 525 local residents that were severely impacted?

\$

1

#### <u>Response</u>

Site Coverage and Permeability are separate development standards. The proposal exceeds the required 20% permeability, with 21.5% permeability. Permeability and stormwater management are further managed in the Environmentally Sustainable Design report which will be required to be submitted and endorsed as part of any permit. The report provided with the advertised material demonstrates a best practice outcome, including a 100% score against the relevant stormwater considerations.

#### EXTENSION OF PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

That Council extend Public Question Time for a further 15 minutes. Moved Cr Lam Seconded Cr Tran

Public Question Time was extended at 6.54pm

```
CARRIED
```

3. The Council's commitment to improving sustainability and transport connectivity in our city through the provision of their Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging Infrastructure Policy shows a commitment to EV use in the city. Given only having one car park will mean the household can only sustain one EV as only one can charge, how does the council feel this fits in to their sustainability commitment as there is clear research that a house of this size will have two cars?

#### Response

Providing car parking dispensations in areas well serviced by public transport is a strategy in Council's Local Transport Strategy to support and promote sustainable transport. The proposal exceeds what is considered a best practice environmentally sustainable development score.

# **Trees**

Council received 6 questions relating to this topic.

## Alexandra Inostroza asked the following guestion:

How many trees and plant life are being cut down? Are you taking into the account how old they are?

#### Lisa Stedwell asked the following question:

What is the Council doing about the loss of trees, wildlife from cutting down and creating noise pollution from the stadium being built?

## Deborah Roberts asked the following question

What about importance of trees for pollution mitigation and to offset urban heat?

#### Lisa MacDonald asked the following question:

Considering that established trees are vital to counter car exhaust fumes and other pollution how will Council overcome the effect of removing trees for the stadium?

#### Estelle Lepetit asked the following guestion:

There is strong opposition to the destruction of parkland, the cutting down of 114 trees, and the construction of a massive stadium in the midst of a small residential community nestled between Francis Street, the Westgate and a soon-to-be-built set of 8 story apartment blocks. What does it take for this Council and you Councillors to truly hear the community on this issue and get to work on a Masterplan that delivers what the community wants, like the Community Plan displayed at the park by Friends of McIvor Reserve?

#### Kervn Robinson asked the following question:

Isn't building a sports stadium on established park land, removing 114 mature trees, flying in the face of Maribyrnong Council's Open Space Strategy and Urban Forest Strategy?

#### Rae Swan asked the following questions:

How many of the category 2 trees at McIvor reserve, detailed in the report accompanying the one-page McIvor Master Plan, would grow into category 1 trees if they are not removed?

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that the proposal notes the removal of 114 trees with the replacement of 228 trees. For every tree removed, two will be replanted and where appropriate like for like, delivering a minimum net increase of 114 trees in the Reserve, with species to enhance habitat, climate suitability and canopy resilience.

# **Traffic**

Council received 6 questions relating to this topic.

Alexandra Inostroza asked the following guestion:

What will you do about our bowling club, the excessive traffic, the car congestion the noise pollution, the lights and noise on the evening for residents?

# Justyn Stedwell asked the following question:

What has the Council done to inform Gent Street that they will become over flow car park?

#### Lisa Stedwell asked the following question:

What is the Council going to do about the traffic congestion, the loss of car park from the bowling club?

# Andrew Oram asked the following question:

Gent Street proposed overflow car parking. How will this work as already with Angliss Reserve being used the street at nights, during training and sporting days as well as community using the Reserve on Gent Street, the parking is already full. There is numerous times myself and other residents have had to park elsewhere as we are unable to park in front of our own property. Obviously this is not a solution to your parking plan. Will you have to remove more park to accommodate the parking needs? Again destroying more green space?

#### Hazel Roberts asked the following question:

Not only would a six-court indoor stadium take away precious green space and mature tree cover, limit the size of the much-valued off-leash dog park with its current easy access, and especially be a nightmare for the Bowling Club, it would also greatly increase the hard surfaces, and noise and emissions from the large volume of extra traffic. McIvor Road will also be where many visitors to the Bradmill Estate will no doubt park. Where do you think those attending a six-court stadium, and all the casual visitors to the Reserve, the cricket, baseball and football players and spectators are going to park? Even more parking in nearby streets?

## Lisa MacDonald asked the following guestion:

How will traffic be managed with the addition of a basketball stadium and the increase in traffic due to the new Bradmill residential site?

## **Response**

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that a first-principles assessment of parking and traffic impacts associated with the proposed Master Plan suggests that the overall car parking supply within the reserve is likely to be more than adequate.

# Community Engagement for the McIvor Reserve Draft Master Plan

Council received 4 questions relating to this topic.

## Justyn Stedwell asked the following guestion:

Why has the Council only provided a 1 page master plan to the community for a 60 million dollar project????

#### Kylie Michel asked the following question:

A comparison to other Masterplans across Australia highlighted the inadequacies of the document being considered at the extraordinary Council meeting on 29 March for McIvor Reserve - essentially an annotated map with a stakeholder feedback summary. Examples of best practice have been provided by the Friends of McIvor Reserve to inform people's understanding. Can you please confirm if a comprehensive Masterplan for McIvor Reserve was developed using the approved funding? If yes, has that document been shared with Councillors and also the community? If not, how can a decision on McIvor Reserve proceed without seeing the full masterplan?

#### R Loh asked the following question:

Why the community has only been provided with a 1-page Masterplan that simply lists a schedule of items, that provides almost no detail relative to a \$60M project with great community interest?

#### Amanda Barron asked the following:

At the McIvor reserve meeting on Sunday 19th March the CEO confirmed that a more detailed McIvor reserve masterplan, than the one page currently available to the public, was in fact completed and agreed to publish it. Where is this published as I have been unable to find it on the Council website?

## Katrina Badbury asked the following:

Why has the community only been provided with a 1-page Masterplan that provides almost no detail relative to a \$60M project with great community interest and very superficial community engagement?

## Rafael and Hugo Lopez-Maggi asked the following:

Why are we only given 1 page community report for a \$60 million project?

## <u>Response</u>

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that in line with best practice planning, a comprehensive Masterplan for McIvor Reserve has been developed. This McIvor Reserve draft Master Plan Report was attached to the Council agenda at the November 2022 meeting of Council and shared with Councillors prior to endorsing the draft Master Plan for community engagement. This document has been available on our website (as part of the agenda) since the publication of the agenda prior to this meeting. It was also available on the Your City Your Voice portal.

The draft report has now also been replaced on the Your City Your Voice portal with the McIvor Reserve Master Plan Project Report. The one page landscape map provides a snapshot summary of the proposed changes and has been used primarily as an engagement tool to support community understanding of what has been considered.

# Community Engagement - Data

Council received 2 questions relating to this topic.

#### Laken Willis asked the following questions:

Why hasn't Council obtained updated data, post covid, regarding indoor and outdoor structured and unstructured recreational needs before moving forward with the masterplan? I used to go to a climbing gym. Now I climb outside. Does Council acknowledge that the way people view indoor and outdoor sport and recreation may have changed as a result of living through a pandemic in the most locked down city in the world?

#### R Loh asked the following question:

Has Council obtained updated data, post covid regarding indoor and outdoor structured and unstructured recreational needs before moving forward with the masterplan?

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that Council has obtained updated data, post COVID-19, regarding indoor and outdoor structured and unstructured recreational. You will find this information in '*The Victorian Health Sport Participation Report*' which has also been made available in the document library on 'Your City Your Voice'.

## EXTENSION OF PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

That Council extend Public Question Time for a further 15 minutes. Moved Cr Carter Seconded Cr Thomas

CARRIED

.

1

Public Question Time was extended at 7.08pm

#### <u>Governance – Public Question Time</u>

Council received 2 questions relating to this topic.

Deborah Roberts asked the following guestion;

Why has Question Time been removed from the Agenda?

#### Estelle Lepetit asked the following question

Why has Question Time been removed from tomorrow's Agenda? Previously Mayor Carter had told us Question Time would be our opportunity to make our views about McIvor known. Can that be added back to the agenda for Wednesday's meeting because many in the community may be wanting to engage with the Councillors through that process.

#### <u>Response</u>

The Chief Executive Officer advised that Council did have a notation on the website encouraging public questions to be submitted in advance of the Special Council Meeting on 29 March 2023, to provide Officers with the opportunity to consider and provide appropriate answers. Public Question Time was inadvertently removed from the Agenda and the agenda had been updated with the inclusion of Public Question Time, as of 10am 28 March 2023.

#### Governance - Councillor Crawford

Council received 3 questions relating to this topic.

#### Kylie Michel asked the following question

In a previous Council meeting it was mentioned that a decision on a masterplan would be delayed to ensure all Councillors are present (so all ratepayers/residents are fairly represented). Why is the McIvor Reserve Masterplan decision occurring when Cr Crawford is unavailable? Waiting two extra weeks would ensure all people in the community are fairly represented in this important decision?

#### Kathy Gaynor-Sloan asked the following guestion

On behalf of all of us, Friends of McIvor Reserve had asked for a month to look at the Masterplan and engage with Councillors before the Masterplan went to a vote. You gave us an incomplete Masterplan on the 17th and then on the 19th, we learned that Cr Crawford was leaving the country the following day, until mid-April and wouldn't be around to vote on the 29th. I expected you would re-schedule things so he would be included but so far it is business as usual for this Council. What is the rush? Can't this be pushed back until after he returns so the Yarraville ward and people who care about green space gets a fair go in this debate?

#### Estelle Lepetit asked the following guestion

Will Simon Crawford be voting remotely at tomorrow's Special Meeting on McIvor Reserve? If not, why is the vote moving forward without him participating in debate or voting on this critical green Yarraville issue? Can you see how biased that probably looks to the community, excluding a Green Yarraville Councillor on a Yarraville greenspace issue, particularly when he is the one Councillor

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that Cr Crawford has submitted an apology for the Special Council Meeting on 29 March 2023. It is normal practice that Councillors are able to submit apologies for Council Meetings.

Council also published this agenda 13 days prior to this special Council meeting and this matter has been the subject of consultation for 18 months.

#### Governance

Council received 2 questions relating to this topic.

#### Greg Randall asked the following question

The one page McIvor Masterplan summary provide no details regarding proposed timing and staging of related works at McIvor. Can Council provide any details on the matter? Are any works proposed for FY 2023/24, in particular but not limited to, the installation of outdoor competition lighting at the Hanks (Baseball) Reserve area?

The Motion proposed for the Council's meeting on March 29 authorises the commencement of advocacy for funding from State and Commonwealth sources to support implementation of the McIvor Master Plan. Can Council advise the specific funding programs that it believes are available at this time (or in the next 12 months) for this purpose?

## Mark Baulch asked the following guestion

If the stadium goes ahead do the Council plan to fight the Union Green Ban in court and if so, should it be the rate payers or the Westgate Basketball Association who pays the legal fees?

## Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that these questions are pre-empting a Council decision and are unable to be responded to.

## <u>McIvor Reserve</u>

#### Alexandra Inostroza asked the following question:

What has the Council done about the importance of birds and trees and wildlife of their masterplan?

#### <u>Response</u>

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that as per the Council Report, an Ecological Biodiversity Assessment is taking place to confirm flora, fauna and habitat within the site.

Evidence of bird nesting (physical nests and nesting behaviour) have been identified in twelve trees within the reserve to date.

Any assessment findings will be followed with a detailed Fauna Management Plan to protect fauna species and minimise disturbance during construction and after project completion should it proceed.

#### Justyn Stedwell asked the following question:

The trees and the bowling club are an important part of the history of Yarraville McIvor must remain undisturbed. Please provide alternative sites?

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that the Bowls club will not be impacted by the proposed development.

#### Lisa Stedwell asked the following question:

Why is the Council yet again selling and privatising public land for profit? This is costing 60 million yet we've only seen 1 page plan?

#### <u>Response</u>

The Chief Executive Officer advised that Council is not intending to sell any public land with the draft McIvor Master Plan.

1

## Deborah Roberts asked the following question:

Why, when dog walking is the primary reason people go to McIvor, should we reduce its size to add a stadium that can go elsewhere?

#### <u>Response</u>

The Chief Executive Officer advised that dog walking is well catered for within the reserve with both on and off lead areas with the proposed masterplan to deliver improvements to the Dog Park zone.

#### Sharon Schwab asked the following questions:

Considering McIvor's proximity to the Western Hwy and Docklands Fwy, plus Bradmills having an approved activity Centre opposite our Reserve, McIvor is an ever increasing essential green space escape for local municipal residents from urban density, traffic noise and traffic movement. Has Council, or the contractor who prepared the draft plan, assessed increased car movements and negative health impacts a stadium at this site would cause local residents? If so, can Council make that report available? If such a report has not been prepared, why not?

#### <u>Response</u>

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that it is understood that the development will have a net positive impact on community health with significant physical activity opportunities. This is not noted in the strategy however the research is clear with one of the most recent examples being the *Value of Community Sport in Victoria* undertaken by KPMG.

Council's open space strategy says new buildings should not cut up or obstruct the open space values and open eye line views of our parks. That's what this poor plan does. We need a stadium, but clearly the location is not right. Given our City's limited green space, can Council work with the State government for funding to buy land for a new stadium site?

#### Response

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that Council through its Indoor Sports Strategy did access numerous sites, some of which were State Government owned, however none were not supported for development. Maribyrnong will require multiple sites to address the identified need for indoor sports.

Council has \$1b in assets and about a \$100m surplus. Given that a stadium at McIvor would unreasonably reduce resident's green space for play, socialising and relaxation to less than 5% of the Reserve in all peak use periods, can a Councillor bring a motion on humanitarian grounds to action Council staff pursuing buying land to create a new asset, and thus avoiding taking away existing and finite green space?

The Chief Executive Officer advised that Councils Open Space Strategy seeks to purchase open space in suburbs of significant deficit. In line with this land acquisition for open space are priorities to deliver parklands to areas of deficit. Yarraville has not been identified as an area of deficit. The Chief Executive Officer clarified that Council does not have a \$100M surplus.

The Mayor advised that Council is currently looking at how open space is grown throughout the municipality with the intention to have a report presented to Council this year in relation to increasing open space by 5% by 2040.

Cr Anthony Tran left the meeting at 7.19pm

Cr Anthony Tran returned to the meeting at 7.21pm

#### Andrew Oram asked the following questions:

We already know that the amount of courts being proposed is not adequate. Would another larger site be more suitable for future expanding development? If McIvor is used what is stopping a future expansion that would remove once again more green space?

#### <u>Response</u>

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that the placement of a 6 court facility has been recommended by officers as achieving a balance between preserving green space and enabling the development of an indoor facility.

With the current development of the Brad mill site what has Council planned to increase the amount of open green space for the new residents. A stadium would decrease the amount of current open green space so what would be the plan to increase green space.

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that the Bradmill site contains open space within the plan to address the resident's needs. It however does not contain active recreation space such as ovals and sports courts.

## **EXTENSION OF PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

That Council extend Public Question Time for a further 15 minutes. Moved Cr Carter Seconded Cr Tran

Public Question Time was extended at 7.22pm.

CARRIED

## Greg Randall asked the following question:

How much does Council estimate it may be required to expend of ratepayers funds to fund implementation of the Master Plan and over what period of time? Will this include completion of a Business Case to at least Victorian Treasury "Preliminary Evaluation" standard in relation to the proposed Indoor Courts complex?

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that this is already answered on the Frequently Answered Question on the McIvor Reserve YCYV page.

Council has publicly acknowledged and it will be advocating for funding support from external parties, including State and Federal governments, recognising if all the elements in the updated Master Plan were to be implemented, the estimated cost would be in the order of \$58 million. A schematic and detailed design process would also need to be undertaken before any major works could be undertaken.

#### Kylie Michel asked the following questions:

The decision to build on open, green space at McIvor is in conflict with many of Councils existing strategies. This has made me question how this plan is progressing. My question is one regarding good governance: Can Council please confirm that no officers (particularly the department responsible for the proposed master plan) and Councillors have a conflict of interest with the McIvor Reserve Masterplan (particularly a non-financial interest eg family members that play in the local basketball association or have interests in potential companies that bid for the related work) resulting from the plan if it's approved?

#### <u>Response</u>

The Chair advised that Councillors and staff are required to disclose any Conflicts of Interest as prescribed by the Local Government Act 2020.

Hazel Roberts provided one statement and this was not responded to as per Council's Governance Rules

# Kathy Gaynor-Sloan asked the following guestions:

Poor lighting at McIvor Reserve was again raised last week as a health & safety concern. In response Council said there were no immediate plans to increase public lighting at McIvor Reserve. Does it take a broken leg or a rape at McIvor to get Council's attention? Is the only time McIvor matters when you are trying to build a stadium over the top of it? Council's on notice of the risk & needs to act now to protect users. The Mayor mentioned safety as being a key consideration for infrastructure. Can she commit to making safe lighting a priority at McIvor?

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer responded that all infrastructure upgrades are subject to masterplan endorsement and Council's annual budget process. However, public lighting in parks is considered within Councils City Design Standards. There are no immediate plans to increase public lighting at McIvor Reserve.

If the stadium is built, where does Council expect everyday users to have picnics, get away from the chaos and relax in the shade? The demand for the sporting fields only continues to increase pushing us more and more into the treed parkland. Are we to read a book in the middle of a soccer match, bask in the sun in the midst of the hockey arena, spread out our lunch on the cricket pitch, or perhaps dig for worms in one of the 6 basketball courts? When you vote tomorrow, will each of you commit to remembering that a vote of "yes" is a vote to cut down mature trees and take heavily-used resources away from residents you represent?

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer noted that the question is pre-empting a future decision of Council however, the proposed masterplan does seeks to meets the needs of all users and we have consulted extensive on this.

1.

#### Mark Baulch asked the following questions:

Has there been any proposal mentioned in relation to holding a meeting between the Friends of McIvor Reserve group and the Westgate basketball group which could be mediated by a Council member? This may have given both parties all the information and not pitted one against the other.

#### <u>Response</u>

The Chief Executive Officer advised that Council is unable to answer this question, this would be a matter for consideration between the Friends of McIvor Reserve Group and the Westgate Basketball Group in terms of whether they wish to meet.

Can any decision made by this Council in relation to McIvor Reserve be overturned when a new Council is elected next year?

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that Council's decision making framework is governed by the Local Government Act 2020 and its Governance Rules.

#### Laken Willis asked the following questions:

If the McIvor vote moves ahead without Simon Crawford and there is a tie vote, will the mayor be responsible for casting the tie-breaking vote? If so, will the Mayor be expected to follow normal convention and stay with the status quo or, like the former mayor did on paid parking, is the Mayor going to be able to vote however she likes, twice? To be clear, I am not asking HOW the mayor will vote. I am just trying to understand what the options are.

#### Response

The Director Corporate Services advised that Clause 58.1 of Council's Governance Rules provide that the event of a tied vote, the Chair must exercise a casting vote. There is no formal convention, precedence or maintaining status quo associated with a casting vote.

Young people care about the environment. Old people care about the environment. Middle-aged people care about the environment. Union members care about the environment. Even a lot of basketball families care about the environment. With the community so opposed to construction of a stadium at McIvor Reserve and the CFMEU placing a green ban on the park, why isn't Council looking at alternative sites for the proposed 6 court indoor stadium that are less controversial? Is building on parkland in 2025 or whatever really the legacy you want to leave as a Council?

## <u>Response</u>

The Chief Executive Officer advised that Council in its Indoor Sports Strategy assessed 11 sites. The Indoor Sports Strategy and shortlisted a number of sites, and considered McIvor Reserve most feasible.

#### Lisa MacDonald asked the following questions

Considering that the latest edition of Messenger reports that according to residents open space is one of the most important areas for Council spending, how does the building of a basketball stadium reflect resident priorities?

## <u>Response</u>

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that addressing historic deficiencies in open space is a key action for Council through the Open Space strategy. This approach looks at areas that are deficient and seek to acquire land in those communities. Yarraville is considered as having a reasonable supply of open space at 13% of its land mass.

#### Amanda Heron asked the following questions:

- 1. I live in Maidstone and am pretty passionate about mitigating the effects of climate change. I do my part with how I eat, how I recycle and how I think about my carbon footprint. I want to make sure this Council really understands the impact of its McIvor proposal. What is Council's understanding of the role mature trees play in air pollution mitigation within this city? Does cutting down healthy, mature trees on public parkland increase or decrease the environment's ability to mitigate city air pollution?
- 2. What is Council's understanding of the role mature trees play in urban heat island mitigation? Does cutting down healthy, mature trees on public parkland increase or decrease the environment's ability to mitigate against development of an urban heat island?

1.

The Director Infrastructure Services advise in response to Questions 1 and 2, Council is aware of the impacts of climate change and the need for our urban forest. To this end, Council planted over 10,000 trees in the past 5 years and this has significantly increased the City's tree canopy.

3. What is Council's understanding of the role mature trees play in native animal habitat connectivity in urban environments? Does cutting down healthy, mature trees on public parkland increase or decrease animal habitat and habitat connectivity within a city? Are you hearing your broader community that we are opposed to building on parkland because these environmental issues really matter to us? Are each of you willing to be on the wrong side of history on climate change mitigation, given everything we now know about the critical state of emergency we are in?

#### **Response**

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that Council has a history of increasing the City's tree canopy and number of trees. With respect to the Master Plan, any trees removed will be replaced by two and the species selection will represent a better choice from both a biodiversity and climate resilience perspective.

#### R Loh asked the following question:

There isn't a list of consulted stakeholders included, apart from the generic descriptions of community members, recreational users and sporting club. For example, it is not clear that traditional land owners have been consulted in regards to the removal of trees and changes to the land. Is there a list, and why has this not been made available to view?

#### Response

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that Council has undertaken extensive engagement on McIvor Reserve. The release of the finer details of who has been engaged is not released without the express permission of those individuals.

#### Stew Milne asked the following questions:

McIvor Reserve Basketball Stadium: Why is it on the periphery of Maribyrnong and not central? The location of the new Basketball stadium seems suboptimal. Not walking distance for many. How does this align with the Climate Emergency and Walkable City concepts? What about the old swimming centre on Buckley St (now Phoenix Youth Centre)? This is a much more central location and walking distance from Footscray station. If it predominantly requires parents driving then this is definitely a form, of upper (white) middle class welfare. If central the diversity argument is much stronger.

# EXTENSION OF PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

That Council extend Public Question Time for a further 15 minutes. Moved Cr Jorquera Seconded Cr Lam

CARRIED

Public Question Time was extended at 7.37pm

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that Council's Indoor Sports strategy considered numerous sites and recommended 11 for further investigation. Two sites are now remaining viable post further due diligence. The former swimming centre on Buckley Street is not considered feasible.

McIvor Reserve Basketball Stadium: Who is this Basketball stadium for? You can play basketball in a car park! If the goal is to have people playing basketball more, wouldn't this process start with more local courts and perhaps an App to help people find people to play with? There are many basketball courts that are probably underutilised throughout the community. Are we making the most of what we already have? How much use does the West Footscray YMCA court get? Could this not be renovated and extended? What is Mathematical / Business case for this? What % of our community will use it?

#### Response

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that the proposed stadium is not restricted to basketball, it will host a range of indoor sports including - basketball, netball, volleyball, futsal to name but a few, and also include community uses and local participation through local schools. Collected data also indicate there is also a severe gap in providing courts for basketball.

Is this for local basketball players or for the basketball industry? \$60M is a lot of money! - Opportunity Cost! What is this money \*not\* being spent on? - Can't basketball acquire and fund its own facilities? Contributing to inflation. If to spend this money, so when we are in a recession!

The Chief Executive Officer advised that an indoor sport centres provide a weather resistant year round environment for competition and sport. As said, Council will be seeking funding from Federal and State Government for this project if it were to proceed.

#### Anne Craig asked the following questions:

- On its website, MCC Council has an indoor stadium strategy and an open space strategy. The McIvor reserve master plan seems to prioritise the needs of the basketball community for more an indoor courts over the needs of the broader community for open green space. As a community we are facing significant environmental issues both locally and globally. Could Council please explain the decision making process that led them to consider it is a higher priority to build an indoor stadium at McIvor reserve rather than protect and enhance the existing parkland?
- 2. Compared to master plans provided by other Councils, MCC's McIvor reserve master plan provides very little detail, being only 1 page long. Geelong city Council's Drysdale sporting precinct masterplan is a 38 page document, for example. Councillors are our elected representatives and the residents of MCC hold them responsible for the decisions they make on our behalf. How can Councillors be expected to make a fully informed decision on the viability and appropriateness of the McIvor reserve master plan and take into account all the negative impacts that could ensue, when the plan is so limited in scope and detail?

#### Response

The Chair advised that in response to Questions 1 and 2. Councils Indoor Sports Strategy indicated a clear deficit in facilities. This is supported by other strategies undertaken by the Inner Melbourne Action Plan Councils.

3. At the City Development delegated committee meeting on the 23rd of February, a resident asked a question about why residents need a special permit to have three dogs at their property. One of the Councillors present spoke in length about the possible issues of owning three dogs at one property, however these remarks were not attributed to the Councillor in the minutes of this meeting. Could Council please explain why this inaccuracy exists and will it be rectified?

The Chief Executive Officer advised that the main part of the response is contained in the minutes. The ancillary comments were not included, in line with the Council's minute taking practice.

#### Mina Mazzeo asked the following questions:

 Last week, the presidents of both netball and basketball at Altona Sports Centre advised me that they are NOT at capacity, that competition during the week has HALVED since Covid, and that MANY courts are available for training, competition and games, especially mid-week. This is completely contrary to what the MCC Director of Infrastructure told the community and Councillors last week. Where is he getting his information and how old is it? Would you agree that it would be wrong for the Councillors to vote tomorrow night with the mistaken belief that there is nowhere in the west for kids to play indoor sports when that is absolutely false.

#### **Response**

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that Council is not privy to those private conversations and they do not align with the views of stakeholders Council sought views from.

However, there is a clear identified need through the Inner Melbourne Action Plan and Council's endorsed Indoor Stadium Strategy that additional courts are required regionally including both Hobson Bay and Maribyrnong City Council.

2. Last week Altona Sports Centre advised me that Westgate Basketball association has teams playing at Altona currently and that they have been told they are welcome to bring their teams across to Altona. Has anyone at Council spoken to Westgate Basketball Association to see why they have been resistant to this short to medium term solution while a suitable brown site can be identified? This would result in a win/win solution for everyone and isn't that really what we all want?

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that the proposed McIvor Reserve Masterplan seeks to address competing needs on McIvor Reserve to ensure that the whole of communities needs are addressed and certainly the Westgate Basketball Association is one of the clubs Council consulted with.

3. I'm a netball mum, living across from Bradmill. No convenience in a stadium built across the street is worth the price the community would pay losing much of the dog park, tree canopy, green open space, disrupting the bowls, increasing traffic, pollution, urban heat, & division in our community. Last week we were told there are no existing brownfield sites deemed to be more suitable AT THIS CURRENT TIME. Can't we protect parkland & look for a brown site? Can Councillors send a mandate to Council to find a brown site & stop stealing

parkland? What is the urgency for participation sports that sit around 6th and 7th in our metropolitan area.

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that the response to this question is provided in the report on the Your City Your Voice page.

Alison Peake asked the following questions:

- Council's published policy under Liveable Neighbourhoods states it will "partner with community to provide and advocate for integrated built and natural environments with access to open spaces that are well maintained, engaging, accessible and respectful of the community and neighbourhoods." Do MCC intend to consult community and consider the future use of McIvor Reserve to have a focus on community engagement in line with the McIvor Reserve Community Plan and in consideration of its own stated policy?
- 2. The McIvor Reserve Community Plan presented at the public meeting in the reserve included continuing to provide space for services to local residents. This increased local population, resulting from the influx of new residents on the border of the reserve, will be almost exclusively apartment dwellers with no private open space of their own. Will Council consider opportunities to add a community garden, food forest and farmers' market to the future development of McIvor Reserve in line with the McIvor Reserve Community Plan and Council's stated policies to provide integrated built and natural environments with access to open spaces?

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that in response to questions 1 and 2, the full masterplan is available on the Your City Your Voice page. This draft plan has been informed by extensive research and engagement.

3. Slow Food Melbourne has embraced this policy to offer direct access to quality, fresh produce through its farmers' markets in local parks which also offer opportunities for educational opportunities around food security, health & nutrition as well as being a meeting point for community engagement. \*Will Council undertake to make open space for public events including food markets & community gardens a priority when considering the future of McIvor Reserve?

#### **Response**

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that the Master Plan considers an infrastructure response to the community needs. Items such as farmers markets could be programed into the space and could be considered in the progression of the Master Plan if endorsed.

**EXTENSION OF PUBLIC QUESTION TIME** That Council extend Public Question Time for a further 15 minutes. Moved Cr Carter Seconded Cr Tran

CARRIED

(4

1

Public Question Time was extended at 7.51pm

## Amy Faram asked the following guestions:

1. The west of Melbourne has such low urban tree coverage - some 5% comparted to 12 and up to 25% in the Eastern suburbs of Melbourne. Why is serious consideration not being given to developing any of the many brown sites in the West? Instead of destroying parkland that is used by the community?

## Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that data taken from the 2018 Urban Forest Strategy shows that Maribyrnong City Council has 11.2% tree canopy coverage in streets and 7.7% tree canopy in open space. Council has an overall tree canopy coverage of 8.3% across the municipality. From 2018 to 2022, Council has planted more than 13,000 trees and is on target to achieve its goal of 20% canopy coverage by 2040.

# Cr Carter left the meeting at 7.52pm.

2. Urban heat mass is a serious concern for the welfare of residents in the west. Why, when there are other options, is the Council considering destroying established trees and parkland. This issue is only going to get worse, with the changing climate - so it would seem more appropriate to develop a brown site than to further reduce what are already very limited green spaces that mitigate against urban heat.

# <u>Response</u>

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that the Master Plan is planning to balance a variety of community needs, including the planting of an additional 114 trees which will contribute to Council's tree canopy goals for the long term.

#### Keryn Robinson asked the following questions:

1. Why build an indoor sports stadium on existing parkland (McIvor Reserve), reducing our green space, removing mature trees that mitigate climate change, reduce pollution and have many other benefits to our population? Why not use a former industrial or brownfield site?

Cr Carter returned to the Meeting at 7.55pm

The Chief Executive Officer advised that the provision of a diversity of sporting infrastructure is critical to support participation, indoor sports have been identified as sports that require additional facilities in Maribyrong to enable people to play.

2. Why is Council prioritising the needs of 3.45% of Maribyrnong's population (Westgate Basketball members - 3,000) over for example, dog owners who need open space to walk their dogs (7.3%) (6,350 registered owners). This doesn't even take into account the number of people who walk, jog, and picnic, use the playgrounds, or use the open space for unstructured recreation.

Cr Thomas left the Meeting at 7.57pm.

#### <u>Response</u>

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that the Master Plan does not prioritise one over the other. It seeks to meet a range of needs identified during the community engagement.

#### Jo Canny asked the following question:

As a founding member of the WBA it is fantastic to watch the ongoing growth which has lead to the need for facilities, which WBA has been eagerly awaiting. It is disappointing when our beautiful community becomes divided as seems to be the case over the location of a new facility. I just wanted to ask and clarify, have ALL other options for a new basketball stadium, that does not require the removal of already limited green space in the municipality, been thoroughly explored? As of today, are there ANY 'brown sites, Council assets or capacity for MCC to purchase a site that can be fast tracked to fit with WBAs imminent requirements?

#### Response

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that 11 sites were considered, a number of them being brown field sites, and they were not considered appropriate. This report is available at Your City Your Voice.

## Rae Swan asked the following question:

Can Councillor Clarke explain how "acting locally" by reducing green open space, increasing the built environment and chopping down 114 trees at McIvor Reserve is a positive response to climate change?

## Response

Councillor Clarke responded by advising that he does not want to cut down any trees, however there is a need to consider the youth of the community. Council had a number of kids outside the Council chamber earlier today asking for basketball and the other half of the community asking to keep open space. This is a balancing act and there is a need to look at the matter from both perspectives, green space and an infrastructure facility demand need. This is a difficult balancing act, however there is no joy in the prospect of cutting down trees.

Cr Thomas returned to the Meeting at 7.59pm.

## Amanda Barron asked the following guestions:

1. Does the more detailed McIvor reserve Masterplan include details of sight line and shade impacts from the height of the stadium to residents of Hawkhurst Street or to those of lighting in Benbow Street opposite residents houses?

#### Cr Jorquera left the Meeting at 7.59pm.

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that this detail will be analysed and provided during the next stages of design should Council endorse the Masterplan.

2. In the latest issue of the Maribyrnong messenger, Council published that the top 5 categories of importance to residents were 1) Open space, 2) Sustainability and the environment, 3) Cycling and pedestrian infrastructure, 4) City amenity and safety and 5) Community centres and Libraries. Given these priorities to residents how does Council believe building a stadium on open space and the removal of 114 mature trees fits with these priorities?

#### Response

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that whilst not in the top five, sport facilities still do rate of high importance at 8.75, and the average rating of services being 7.3.

Cr Jorquera returned to the Meeting at 8.04pm.

~

## Katrina Badbury asked the following questions:

1. Where is a copy of the approved traffic plan that shows the impacts to McIvor reserve? This is a quiet residential reserve with poor public transport connections, the impact of a multi court basketball stadium will be extreme and negatively impact the amenity of the community that use the park.

## <u>Response</u>

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that Council has commissioned a traffic engineering report. The next step would be more detailed traffic, traffic and parking assessment during the next stages of design should the Master Plan proceed.

2. In an LGA that has some of the worst pollution and fewest open green spaces how can you propose to remove mature trees that will negatively impact amenity, shade, and wildlife?

## <u>Response</u>

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that the proposal notes the removal of 114 trees with the replacement with 228 trees. For every tree removed, two will be replanted and where appropriate like for like, delivering a minimum net increase of 114 trees in the Reserve, with species to enhance habitat, climate suitability and canopy resilience.

#### Shari Liby asked the following questions:

1. Last week, the Director of Infrastructure discussed professional advice that had been received regarding the impacts of the proposed stadium. I don't see any mention of that in the Masterplan. Why was their report not included as part of the Masterplan shared with the public on the 17th? Why is it still not on the agenda? Why is there a "final draft for Council consideration" that still hasn't been shared with the community? Are the community and the Councillors just being asked to take his word for it that there are no impacts? Is that really good governance?

#### Response

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that the document library on the website contains a whole range of reports. We would need to obtain the permission of the author of the Traffic Engineering Report to publish on Your City Your Voice.

# EXTENSION OF PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

That Council extend Public Question Time for a further 15 minutes. Moved Cr Thomas Seconded Cr Tran

CARRIED

Public Question Time was extended at 8.07pm

2. If the community is divided over a stadium at McIvor, then it is not the right site. Why is Council and the Westgate Basketball Association so focused on stealing away green space and parkland that they are unwilling to work together with the local community so everyone can be a winner? Do you not see that destroying parkland, trees and green and open space is utterly absurd in the midst of a climate emergency? Does anyone believe this stadium will ever be welcome at McIvor?

# Response

This is considered a statement and no response will be provided, however the statement is noted.

3. There was a group of basketballers outside tonight enjoining a bit of fresh air with the Mayor. It reminded me of the data from the 2018 stadium strategy which says that only 1.4% of all residents in Maribymong are involved in the sport. What percentage of Maribymong residents are involved in unstructured recreational activities? Probably 100%, right? How many of those children standing outside also enjoy playing in the grass or climbing trees? Probably 100%, right? Why can't this Council make sure they have both?

# Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that the report had been done, and consultation undertaken. The Chief Executive Officer also reiterated that the proposed indoor facility would accommodate a number of structured and unstructured activities.

# Rafael and Hugo Lopez-Maggi asked the following questions

1. We the community deserve to have answers from Council in regards to selling of public land. Why aren't counsellors transparent in this project?

# Response

The Chair advised that no land is proposed to be sold with proposed masterplan.

2. Why are some Councillors behaving, in relation to McIvor Reserve, in the very same way that they behaved with the attempt to "lease" a huge amount of public space of Footscray Park not ago? The Footscray Park Fight took years of community efforts, to maintain this historic Park, out of the hands of people who could, very easily have purchased land for their activities. It sounds to us, that local residents are going to have put up a similar fight to save McIvor Reserve, as we did with Footscray Park. Please listen to the voices of the local residents who vote.

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that this is considered a statement and will not be responded to in accordance with Council's Governance Rules.

#### Karen Graham asked the following questions

1. Will all the proposed changes to McIvor be done at the same time or will the Council prioritize the stadium?

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that if the master plan is endorsed the works would be conducted over time, however this is also pre-empting the decision of Council tomorrow evening.

2. Has the Council spoken to other Councils such as Hobsons Bay to work with them in relation to working together and building the new stadium at a site such as the vacant Bunnings site in Millers Rd., the site is in a good location, has excellent parking and public transport? It would also hold more than 6 courts so it plans for the future not just now.

#### <u>Response</u>

The Chief Executive Officer advised that Council speaks to western region Council colleagues, M9 colleagues and as a part of the MAV, Council is always networking with other councils.

3. As the Council has a duty of care to the users of McIvor Reserve when will lights be installed?

#### Response

The Director Infrastructure Services advised that public lighting would be upgraded as a part of the master plan if endorsed.

#### Peter Eastmure asked the following questions:

- Is the proposed overflow car park on the west side of Gent st to be paved or will it be left uneven, potholed and often muddy. If so has this been costed in the updated 1 page master plan? What traffic management will be provided to assist the people to cross busy Francis St. People many who will be elderly bowlers cannot be expected to walk to the proposed crossing at Roberts st to cross the road.
- 2. What parking restrictions are proposed on both side of Francis St outside the bowls club as I'm sure many will park there which will create a dangerous situation?

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised in response to question 1 and 2 that a detailed traffic management plan will be undertaken if the masterplan is endorsed.

3. What is the great rush for a vote on the proposed development of McIvor reserve. Surely a vote on such an important project requires the vote of every elected councillor. Why can't this vote be delayed until every elected councillor is available to vote?

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised this question has been asked and answered.

#### Barbara Hart asked the following guestions:

1. At last week's meeting Director of Infrastructure advised that "Officers have undertaken a qualitative first-instance response of parking and traffic impacts, associated with the proposed Master Plan," that "Council has sought professional advice regarding the impacts of the proposed stadium," and that Council is relying "on expert external advice." The unconfirmed minutes from that meeting state, "traffic impact statements will form part of the final draft for Council consideration." What does any of this even mean? Was the study done internally by council officers or was it done externally by experts? Which experts?

The Chief Executive Officer advised that all reports can be found on the Your City Your Voice page. The detailed traffic management plan will be completed if the masterplan is endorsed.

2. At the 15 November 2022 meeting Mayor Carter was asked about proceeding with voting on the McIvor draft masterplan with some of our Councillors absent, and explained that "If it were to make a determination on the adoption of a masterplan then, certainly, we would have looked to put the meeting off." We are now squarely there now, looking at adoption of the final masterplan. So why hasn't tomorrow's meeting been put off to a time when Cr Crawford, Yarraville ward's Green Councillor is able to participate on this extremely important vote?

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that this question has been asked and answered.

3. All seven Councillors were at McIvor last week and hopefully got some sense of just how much parkland will be covered by the stadium and car parks if this goes ahead. Council has been tight-lipped about the percentage of unstructured recreational space that will be lost, but Friends of McIvor Reserve believes the unstructured areas will be reduced by about 56% if the masterplan is approved. Do each of our 7 elected Councillors genuinely believe the remaining 44% will adequately accommodate all of the current users of the full space, and the 3000-4000 new users from the Bradmill who will primarily be living in apartments?

#### Response

The Chief Executive Officer advised that this is pre-empting the future decision of Council.

#### Hazel Roberts asked the following question:

1. At last week's Council Meeting, the question was asked, "How do you think the building of a basketball stadium at McIvor Reserve is going to impact on our already congested roads in Yarraville?" Mr Jess's response was: "Any potential net change in traffic on Fogarty Avenue, Hawkhurst Street, Benbow Street and Wembley Avenue is anticipated to be absorbed without significant adverse operational and safety implications in the area." My question is, "Would you please provide evidence of what Study was conducted for this answer to be given, as I'm positive every nearby resident in those streets, plus Mill Avenue, would vehemently disagree?"

The Chief Executive Officer advised that a specialist traffic engineering, transport planning and road safety consultancy provided a qualitative first-principles assessment of parking and traffic impacts associated with the proposed McIvor Reserve master plan. A detailed traffic management plan has yet to be developed and will only be developed if the masterplan is endorsed.

The Chair declared Public Question Time closed at 8.30pm.

# 5. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

## 5. Confirmation of the Minutes of the Previous City Development Delegated Committee Meeting - 28 February 2023

The purpose of this report was to present for confirmation, the minutes of the City Development Delegated Committee Meeting held on 28 February 2023.

#### **Committee Resolution**

That the Delegated Committee confirms the minutes of the City Development Delegated Committee Meeting held on 28 February 2023.

Moved: Cr Cuc Lam Seconded: Cr Bernadette Thomas

#### CARRIED

#### 6. OFFICER REPORTS

#### 6.1. 66 Walter Street Seddon - Planning Permit Application

The purpose of this report was to present for consideration a planning permit application at 66 Walter Street, Seddon which has received 24 objections.

Three requests to address the Committee were received and heard by the Committee:

- Mara Rados
- Keith Elshout
- Dan Bowden

Cr Sarah Carter moved an alternative motion in regards to Agenda Item 6.1.

#### **Committee Resolution**

That the City Development Delegated Committee, with respect to Planning Permit Application TP452/2022(1), issue a Notice of Refusal to Grant a Planning Permit to Construct a dwelling on a lot less than 300 square metres and reduce the car parking requirement at 66 Walter Street, Seddon on the following grounds:

- 1. The proposal fails to respect the character of the area, as required by Clauses 22.05 (Neighbourhood Character) and 32.08 (General Residential Zone) of the Maribyrnong Planning Scheme.
- 2. The proposal fails to meet the Objectives and Standards of Clause 55 of the Maribyrnong Planning Scheme by virtue of the following:
  - a. The proposal does not appropriately respond to the existing context or neighbourhood character, as required by Clause 54.02-1 (Standard A1).
  - b. The development would not satisfactorily integrate with the Walter Street streetscape, as required by Clause 54.02-2 (Standard A2).
  - c. The development fails to provide an appropriate front setback, as required by Clause 54.03-1 (Standard A3).
  - d. The development exceeds the preferred level of site coverage, contrary to Clause 54.03-3 (Standard A5).
  - e. The side and rear setbacks would have an unreasonable impact on adjoining properties and the wider streetscape, contrary to Clause 54.04-1 (Standard A10).
  - f. The length and height of boundary walls would have an unreasonable impact on adjoining properties, particularly to the west, contrary to Clause 54.04-2 (Standard A11).
  - g. The design details are inconsistent with the existing and preferred neighbourhood character, contrary to Clause 54.06-1 (Standard A19).

Moved: Seconded: Cr Sarah Carter Cr Anthony Tran

#### CARRIED

6.2. Maribyrnong Flood Review Submission

The purpose of this report was to seek Council's endorsement of a submission to the Maribyrnong River Flood Review (Attachment 2).

#### **Committee Resolution**

That the City Development Delegated Committee endorses the submission to the Maribyrnong River Flood Review at Attachment 2, inclusive of the amendment to include the words "and following each flood event" on page 87 of Council's Agenda.

Moved: Seconded: Cr Anthony Tran Cr Bernadette Thomas

CARRIED

N 81 M

#### 7. COUNCILLOR QUESTION TIME

Nil.

#### 8. URGENT BUSINESS

Nil.

#### 9. DELEGATED MEETING CLOSURE

The Chair, Cr Michael Clarke, declared the meeting closed at 9.00pm

To be confirmed at the City Development Delegated Committee Meeting to be held on 26 April, 2023.

Chair, Cr Michael Clarke